Extreme Weather Events and Environmental Attitudes and Behaviour: A Large-Scale Longitudinal Approach in the UK

Tobias Rüttenauer

Nuffield College, University of Oxford

Analytical Sociology: Theory and Empirical Applications November 17, 2020



## Motivation

Climate change is happening now

'Recent climate changes have had widespread impacts on human and natural systems.' (IPCC, 2014, p.2)

## Motivation

#### Climate change is happening now

'Recent climate changes have had widespread impacts on human and natural systems.' (IPCC, 2014, p.2)

#### Worry about climate change in UK



The effects of climate change are too far in the future to really worry me

Analytical Strategy

Understanding Society wave 4 (2012-2014), N = 29,520

#### Research question

Why do people not care about climate change?

- Severe impacts are distant (time & space)
- No first hand experience of climate change
- But: extreme weather events will increase, also in Europe (e.g. Roudier et al., 2016)

## Research question

Why do people not care about climate change?

- Severe impacts are distant (time & space)
- No first hand experience of climate change
- But: extreme weather events will increase, also in Europe
- (e.g. Roudier et al., 2016)

Research question

Does the personal exposure to extreme weather events (floods + heatwaves) increase people's belief in climate change and their pro-environmental behaviour?

#### Theoretical background

#### General assumption

- Risk assessment requires cognitive effort and motivation
- Both are a scarce resources

#### (e.g. Myers et al., 2013; Spence et al., 2012)

Motivation

Background

Analytical Strategy

esults

Conclusions

## Theoretical background

#### General assumption

- Risk assessment requires cognitive effort and motivation
- Both are a scarce resources

#### Experiential processing

- Increases mental accessibility of abstract climate change
- Induces stronger emotional feelings
- Reduces the perceived spatial and temporal distance
  Higher risk perception
- $\Rightarrow$  New evidence used to update prior beliefs
- $\Rightarrow$  Change behaviour
- $\Rightarrow$  Stronger effect with spatial & temporal proximity
- (e.g. Myers et al., 2013; Spence et al., 2012)

## Previous findings

Meta-analyses

Hornsey et al. (2016); van Valkengoed and Steg (2019)

- Positive correlations between
  - past experience and beliefs
  - past experience and pro-environmental behaviour
- Effect size is relatively small
- Strong temporal decay

## Previous findings

Meta-analyses

Hornsey et al. (2016); van Valkengoed and Steg (2019)

- Positive correlations between
  - past experience and beliefs
  - past experience and pro-environmental behaviour
- Effect size is relatively small
- Strong temporal decay

Three problems (Howe et al., 2019)

- Small cross-sectional ad-hoc surveys
- Large-scale spatial patterns not random
- Self-selection into neighbourhoods

Two exceptions: Baccini and Leemann (2020); Hazlett and Mildenberger (2020)

## This study

#### Effect of floods

- on climate change beliefs
- on pro-environmental behaviour
- Role of spatial proximity

Effect of heatwaves

- on climate change beliefs
- on pro-environmental behaviour
- Role of temporal proximity

## This study

#### Effect of floods

- on climate change beliefs
- on pro-environmental behaviour
- Role of spatial proximity

Effect of heatwaves

- on climate change beliefs
- on pro-environmental behaviour
- Role of temporal proximity

#### Methodological advances

- Nationally representative individual level data
- Account for large-scale spatial patterns
- Account for selection into 'treatment'

## Individual level data

#### BHPS / UKHLS

- ▶ Waves BHPS 18 + UKHLS 1 and UKHLS 4 (2008-2014)
- Up to 85,447 observations and 58,841 individuals
- LSOA regional identifier (around 1,500 inhabitants)

## Individual level data

#### BHPS / UKHLS

- ▶ Waves BHPS 18 + UKHLS 1 and UKHLS 4 (2008-2014)
- Up to 85,447 observations and 58,841 individuals
- LSOA regional identifier (around 1,500 inhabitants)

Variables

- Belief in climate change (0 / 1) 'People in the UK will be affected by climate change in the next 30 years'
- Pro-environmental behaviour (1 5)
  7 items, e.g. TV on standby, switch off light, turning heating up, using own bags for shopping, do not buy because of packaging
- Controls: age (5 year intervals), sex, UK-born, ethnic group, highest education, child(ren) in the household, marital status, household income, household income squared, and political party preference

## Flood indicator

Environment Agency's Recorded Flood Outlines

- England only
- Buffer around population weighted centroid of LSOA
- Rule: ≥ 1 hectare flooded (10,000m<sup>2</sup>)
- Temporal cut-off: within past 2 years
- Spatial distance: 5km, 2km, 500m
- Merging done with all waves



Analytical Strategy

#### Heatwave indicator

#### HadUK-Grid Weather data ( $5 \times 5$ km grid)

- Entire UK
- Daily maximum temperature in LSOA
- ► Rule: at least 3 consecutive days ≥ 29°C
- Temporal distance:
  4 months, 1 month, 14 days
- Merging done with all waves



## Analytical Strategy

#### Pooled OLS

$$y_{it} = \alpha + \tau_{it}\beta + \mathbf{x}_{it}\boldsymbol{\theta} + \eta_m + \eta_y + \epsilon_{it}, \qquad (1)$$

#### Within-person (FE)

$$y_{it} = \tau_{it}\beta + \mathbf{z}_{it}\boldsymbol{\theta} + \alpha_i + (\eta_m + \eta_y) * \delta_i + \epsilon_{it}, \qquad (2)$$

Motivation

Background

Analytical Strategy

#### Descriptives

#### Floods and climate change belief





Motivation

#### Background

Analytical Strateg

Results

10 / 14

## Descriptives

#### Heatwaves and pro-environmental behaviour





Results

11 / 14

## Floods



## Floods



## Floods



12 / 14

#### Heatwaves



#### Heatwaves



13 / 14

#### Heatwaves



13 / 14

## Conclusions

Exposure to extreme weather events

- Increases belief in climate change
- Effect increases with spatial and temporal proximity
- Substantial effect size: 8.1% (for floods 500m)
- But: low incidence rate of 0.9%
- $\Rightarrow$  Overall sample mean effect: + 0.1%-points
- $\Rightarrow$  Strong spatial and temporal decay

## Conclusions

Exposure to extreme weather events

- Increases belief in climate change
- Effect increases with spatial and temporal proximity
- Substantial effect size: 8.1% (for floods 500m)
- But: low incidence rate of 0.9%
- $\Rightarrow$  Overall sample mean effect: + 0.1%-points
- $\Rightarrow$  Strong spatial and temporal decay

Exposure to extreme weather events

- Does not chance environmental behaviour
- Effect sizes are negligible
- Independent of proximity



Motivation

## Thank you very much!

- Baccini, L. and Leemann, L. (2020), Do natural disasters help the environment? How voters respond and what that means, *Political Science Research and Methods*, 60:1–17.
- Brügger, A., Dessai, S., Devine-Wright, P., Morton, T. A., and Pidgeon, N. F. (2015), Psychological responses to the proximity of climate change, *Nature Climate Change*, 5(12):1031–1037.
- Druckman, J. N. and McGrath, M. C. (2019), The evidence for motivated reasoning in climate change preference formation, *Nature Climate Change*, 9(2):111–119.
- Hazlett, C. and Mildenberger, M. (2020), Wildfire Exposure Increases Pro-Environment Voting within Democratic but Not Republican Areas, American Political Science Review, 58:1–7.
- Hornsey, M. J., Harris, E. A., Bain, P. G., and Fielding, K. S. (2016), Meta-analyses of the determinants and outcomes of belief in climate change, *Nature Climate Change*, 6(6):622–626.
- Howe, P. D., Marlon, J. R., Mildenberger, M., and Shield, B. S. (2019), How will climate change shape climate opinion?, *Environmental Research Letters*, 14(11):113001.
- IPCC (2014), Climate change 2014: Synthesis report. IPCC, Geneva.
- Myers, T. A., Maibach, E. W., Roser-Renouf, C., Akerlof, K., and Leiserowitz, A. A. (2013), The relationship between personal experience and belief in the reality of global warming, *Nature Climate Change*, 3(4):343–347.
- Roudier, P., Andersson, J. C. M., Donnelly, C., Feyen, L., Greuell, W., and Ludwig, F. (2016), Projections of future floods and hydrological droughts in Europe under a +2C global warming, *Climatic Change*, 135(2):341–355.
- Spence, A., Poortinga, W., and Pidgeon, N. (2012), The psychological distance of climate change, *Risk Analysis*, 32(6):957–972.
- van Valkengoed, A. M. and Steg, L. (2019), Meta-analyses of factors motivating climate change adaptation behaviour, Nature Climate Change, 9(2):158–163.

#### Working paper available and happy to share!

References

y Descr

ves Si

Single items

Probit

Placebo test

### Theoretical background

Some doubts about experiential learning

- Motivated reasoning
- Attribution of past events to abstract climate change

(e.g. Brügger et al., 2015; Druckman and McGrath, 2019)

References

Theory [

Single

Pr

Placebo tes

## Theoretical background

Some doubts about experiential learning

- Motivated reasoning
- Attribution of past events to abstract climate change

#### and about proximity

- Aversive arousal / feeling of resignation
- Proximate threats activate immediate needs / values
- Proximate threats induce defensive behaviours
- (e.g. Brügger et al., 2015; Druckman and McGrath, 2019)

#### Table: Estimation sample 1, summary statistics

Statistic	Ν	Mean	St. Dev.	Min	Max
Climate change belief	61,458	0.761	0.426	0	1
Flood affected (500m)	61,458	0.009	0.097	0	1
Flood affected (2km)	61,458	0.046	0.210	0	1
Flood affected (5km)	61,458	0.112	0.315	0	1
Age	61,458	46.204	17.918	16	100
Sex (female)	61,458	0.547	0.498	0	1
Migration background	61,458	0.147	0.354	0	1
Child(ren) in household	61,458	0.342	0.474	0	1
Household income (in thousand)	61,458	3.633	3.331	0.000	86.703
Ethnic background					
Any White	61,458	0.836	0.371	0	1
Mixed	61,458	0.019	0.138	0	1
Asian	61,458	0.093	0.290	0	1
Black	61,458	0.045	0.206	0	1
Other	61,458	0.008	0.089	0	1
Highest education					
GCSE etc	61,458	0.218	0.413	0	1
Degree	61,458	0.238	0.426	0	1
Other higher degree	61,458	0.114	0.317	0	1
nces A-level heary Descriptives	Single item 58	Propio04	Plagebootsst	0	17 / 14

#### Table: Estimation sample 2, summary statistics

Statistic	Ν	Mean	St. Dev.	Min	Max
Pro-environmental behaviour	65,770	3.217	0.680	1.000	5.000
Flood affected (500m)	65,770	0.009	0.095	0	1
Flood affected (2km)	65,770	0.045	0.207	0	1
Flood affected (5km)	65,770	0.113	0.316	0	1
Age	65,770	46.695	17.710	16	100
Sex (female)	65,770	0.565	0.496	0	1
Migration background	65,770	0.172	0.377	0	1
Child(ren) in household	65,770	0.349	0.477	0	1
Household income (in thousand)	65,770	3.551	3.273	0.000	86.703
Ethnic background					
Any White	65,770	0.808	0.394	0	1
Mixed	65,770	0.019	0.138	0	1
Asian	65,770	0.109	0.312	0	1
Black	65,770	0.054	0.226	0	1
Other	65,770	0.010	0.097	0	1
Highest education					
GCSE etc	65,770	0.214	0.410	0	1
Degree	65,770	0.234	0.423	0	1
Other higher degree	65,770	0.112	0.315	0	1
nces A-level heory Descriptives	Single Greener	Propit 05	Placebookst	0	18 / 14

#### Table: Estimation sample 3, summary statistics

Statistic	Ν	Mean	St. Dev.	Min	Max
Climate change belief	80,004	0.766	0.423	0	1
Heatwave affected (14 days)	80,004	0.016	0.125	0	1
Heatwave affected (1 month)	80,004	0.028	0.165	0	1
Heatwave affected (4 months)	80,004	0.069	0.254	0	1
Age	80,004	46.660	17.967	16	100
Sex (female)	80,004	0.551	0.497	0	1
Migration background	80,004	0.124	0.330	0	1
Child(ren) in household	80,004	0.338	0.473	0	1
Household income (in thousand)	80,004	3.553	3.253	0.000	92.486
Ethnic background					
Any White	80,004	0.869	0.338	0	1
Mixed	80,004	0.016	0.125	0	1
Asian	80,004	0.074	0.262	0	1
Black	80,004	0.035	0.183	0	1
Other	80,004	0.007	0.082	0	1
Highest education					
GCSE etc	80,004	0.216	0.412	0	1
Degree	80,004	0.227	0.419	0	1
Other higher degree	80,004	0.115	0.319	0	1
nces A-level heary Descriptives	Single mod	Propion7	Placebookst	0	19 / 14

#### Table: Estimation sample 4, summary statistics

Statistic	Ν	Mean	St. Dev.	Min	Max
Pro-environmental behaviour	85,447	3.201	0.679	1.000	5.000
Heatwave affected (14 days)	85,447	0.017	0.129	0	1
Heatwave affected (1 month)	85,447	0.029	0.169	0	1
Heatwave affected (4 months)	85,447	0.073	0.261	0	1
Age	85,447	47.227	17.765	16	100
Sex (female)	85, 447	0.569	0.495	0	1
Migration background	85, 447	0.144	0.351	0	1
Child(ren) in household	85, 447	0.343	0.475	0	1
Household income (in thousand)	85,447	3.477	3.192	0.000	92.486
Ethnic background					
Any White	85,447	0.847	0.360	0	1
Mixed	85,447	0.016	0.125	0	1
Asian	85,447	0.087	0.281	0	1
Black	85, 447	0.042	0.201	0	1
Other	85, 447	0.008	0.089	0	1
Highest education					
GCSE etc	85,447	0.211	0.408	0	1
Degree	85, 447	0.222	0.416	0	1
Other higher degree	85,447	0.114	0.317	0	1
nces A-level heary Descriptives	Single der 247	Propit 08	Planeboologst	0	20 / 14

## Pro-environmental behaviour items



## Climate change belief: probit models



22 / 14

#### Placebo tests: floods



#### Placebo test: heatwaves



24 / 14